GEOM_SCHED: A Framework for Disk Scheduling within GEOM

Luigi Rizzo and Fabio Checconi

May 8, 2009

GEOM_SCHED A framework for disk scheduling within GEOM

Luigi Rizzo

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione via Diotisalvi 2, Pisa, ITALY

Fabio Checconi

SSSUP S. Anna, via Moruzzi 1, Pisa, ITALY



- Motivation for this work
- Architecture of GEOM_SCHED
- Disk scheduling issues
- Disk characterization
- An example anticipatory scheduler
- Performance evaluation
- Conclusions

- Performance of rotational media is heavily influenced by the pattern of requests;
- anything that causes seeks reduces performance;
- scheduling requests can improve throughput and/or fairness;
- even with smart filesystems, scheduling can help;
- FreeBSD still uses a primitive scheduler (elevator/C-LOOK);
- we want to provide a useful vehicle for experimentation.

To answer, look at the requirements. Disk scheduling needs:

- geometry info, head and platter position;
 - necessary to exploit locality and minimize seek overhead;
 - known exactly only within the drive's electronics;
- classification of requests;
 - useful to predict access patterns;
 - necessary if we want to improve fairness;
 - known to the OS but not to the drive.

Possible locations for the scheduler:

- Within the disk device
 - has perfect geometry info;
 - requires access to the drive's firmware;
 - unfeasible other than for specific cases.
- Within the device driver
 - lacks precise geometry info.
 - feasible, but requires modification to all drivers;
- Within GEOM
 - lacks precise geometry info;
 - can be done in just one place in the system;
 - very convenient for experimentations.

Why GEOM_SCHED

Doing scheduling within GEOM has the following advantages:

- one instance works for all devices;
- can reuse existing mechanisms for datapath (locking) and control path (configuration);
- makes it easy to implement different scheduling policies;
- completely optional: users can disable the scheduler if the disk or the controller can do better.

Drawbacks:

- no/poor geometry and hardware info (not available in the driver, either);
- some extra delay in dispatching requests (measurements show that this is not too bad).

- GEOM_SCHED goals
- GEOM basics
- GEOM_SCHED architecture

Our framework has the following goals:

- Support for run-time insertion/removal/reconfiguration;
- support for multiple scheduling algorithms;
- production quality.

GEOM Basics

Geom is a convenient tool for manipulating disk I/O requests.

- Geom modules are interconnected as nodes in a graph;
- Disk I/O requests ("bio's") enter nodes through "provider" ports;
- arbitrary manipulation can occur within a node;
- if needed, requests are sent downstream through "consumer" ports;
- one provider port can have multiple consumer ports connected to it;
- ▶ the top provider port is connected to sources (e.g. filesystem);
- the bottom node talks to the device driver.



Disk requests

A disk request is represented by a struct bio , containing control info, a pointer to the buffer, node-specific info and glue for marking the return path of responses.

```
struct bio {
                                       /* I/O operation. */
       uint8_t bio_cmd;
        . . .
                                       /* Device to do I/O on. */
       struct cdev *bio_dev;
                                       /* Valid bytes in buffer. */
       long
              bio_bcount;
                                       /* Memory, superblocks, indire
       caddr_t bio_data;
       void *bio_driver1;
                                       /* Private use by the provider
       void
               *bio_driver2;
                                       /* Private use by the provider
       void
              *bio_caller1;
                                       /* Private use by the consumer
       void
               *bio caller2:
                                       /* Private use by the consumer
       TAILQ_ENTRY(bio) bio_queue;
                                       /* Disksort queue. */
       const char *bio_attribute;
                                       /* Attribute for BIO_[GS]ETATT
       struct g_consumer *bio_from;
                                       /* GEOM linkage */
       struct g_provider *bio_to;
                                       /* GEOM linkage */
        . . .
```

Adding a GEOM scheduler

Adding a GEOM scheduler to a system should be as simple as this:

- decide which scheduling algorithm to use (may depend on the workload, device, ...);
- decide which requests we want to schedule (usually everything going to disk);
- insert a GEOM_SCHED node in the right place in the datapath.

Problem: current "insert" mechanisms do not allow insertion within an active path;

- must mount partitions on the newly created graph to use of the scheduler;
- or, must to devise a mechanism for transparent insertion/removal of GEOM nodes.

Transparent Insert

Transparent insertion has been implemented using existing GEOM features (thanks to phk's suggestion):

- create new geom, provider and consumer;
- hook new provider to existing geom;
- hook new consumer to new provider;
- hook old provider to new geom.





Transparent removal



Revert previous operations:

- hook old provider back to old geom;
- drain requests to the consumer and provider (careful!);
- detach consumer from provider;
- destroy provider.

GEOM_SCHED architecture



GEOM_SCHED is made of three parts:

- a userland object (geom_sched.so), to set/modify configuration;
- a generic kernel module (geom_sched.ko) providing glue code and support for individual scheduling algorithms;
- one or more kernel modules, implementing different scheduling algorithms (gsched_rr.ko, gsched_as.ko, ...).

geom_sched.so is the userland module in charge of configuring the disk scheduler.

insert a scheduler in the existing chain geom sched insert <provider>

before: [pp --> gp ..]
after: [pp --> sched_gp --> cp] [new_pp --> gp ...]

restore the original chain
geom sched destroy <provider>.sched.

GEOM_SCHED: geom_sched.ko

geom_sched.ko:

- provides the glue to construct the new datapath;
- stores configuration (scheduling algorithm and parameters);
- invokes individual algorithms through the GEOM_SCHED API;



Scheduler modules

Specific modules implement the various scheduling algorithms, interfacing with geom_sched.ko using the GEOM_SCHED API

```
/* scheduling algorithm creation and destruction */
typedef void *gs_init_t (struct g_geom *geom);
typedef void gs_fini_t (void *data);
```

```
/* request handling */
typedef int gs_start_t (void *data, struct bio *bio);
typedef void gs_done_t (void *data, struct bio *bio);
typedef struct bio *gs_next_t (void *data, int force);
```

```
/* classifier support */
typedef int gs_init_class_t (void *data, void *priv, struct thread *tp
typedef void gs_fini_class_t (void *data, void *priv);
```

- gs_init() : called when a scheduling algorithm starts being used by a geom_sched node.
- gs_fini() : called when the algorithm is released.
- gs_init_class() : called when a new client (as determined by the classifier) appears.
- gs_fini_class() : called when a client (as determined by the classifier) disappears.

- gs_start() : called when a new request comes in. It should enqueue the request and return 0 on success, or non-zero on failure (meaning that the scheduler will be bypassed, in this case bio->bio_caller1 is set to NULL).
- gs_next() : called i) in a loop by g_sched_dispatch() right after gs_start(); ii) on timeouts; iii) on 'done' events. Should return immediately, either a pointer to the bio to be served or NULL if no bio should be served now. Always return an entry if available and the "force" argument is set.
- gs_done() : called when a request under service completes. In turn the scheduler should either call the dispatch loop to serve other pending requests, or make sure there is a pending timeout to avoid stalls.

Classification

- Schedulers rely on a classifier to group requests. Grouping is usually done basing on some attributes of the creator of the request.
- Iong term solution:
 - add a field to the struct bio (cloned as other fields);
 - add a hook in g_io_request() to call the classifier and write the "flowid".
- For backward compatibility, the current code is more contrived:
 - on module load, patch g_io_request to write the "flowid" into a seldom used field in the topmost bio;
 - when needed, walk up the bio chain to find the "flowid";
 - on module unload, restore the previous g_io_request.
- this is just experimental, but lets us run the scheduler on unmodified kernels.

Back to the main problem, disk scheduling for rotational media (or any media where sequential access is faster than random access).

- Contiguous requests are served very quickly;
- non contiguous requests may incur rotational delay or a seek penalty.
- In presence of multiple outstanding requests, the scheduler can reorder them to exploit locality.
- Standard disk scheduling algorithm: C-SCAN or "elevator";
- sort and serve requests by sector index;
- never seek backwards.

Disksort (and its API)

- bioq_disksort is a data structure that implements the C-SCAN algorithm;
- provides an API to force ordering;
- bioq_disksort() performs an ordered insertion;
- bioq_first() return the head of the queue, without removing;
- bioq_takefirst() return and remove the head of the queue, updating the 'current head position' as bioq->last_offset = bio->bio_offset + bio->bio_length;
- bioq_insert_tail() insert an entry at the end. It also creates a 'barrier' so all subsequent insertions through bioq_disksort() will end up after this entry;
- bioq_insert_head() insert an entry at the head, update bioq->last_offset = bio->bio_offset so that all subsequent insertions through bioq_disksort() will end up after this entry;
- bioq_remove() remove a generic element from the queue, act as bioq_takefirst() if invoked on the head of the queue.

 Requests are sorted by position, so a greedy, sequential client can "capture" the disk;

- likely to happen with writers, which are asynchronous;
- can be addressed by advancing the 'current' head position after a few sequential requests;
- ▶ the trick still does not protect from scattered request patterns.

Deceptive Idleness

 Readers tend to be synchronous: no request is sent before the previous one is complete;

```
offset --->
+------
| Aaaaaaa... Bbbbbb... |
```

Arrival order: A B a b a b ... Service order: A [seek] B [seek] a [seek] b ...

- the stream of requests from a process doing synchronous I/O is never seen as continuously backlogged by the scheduler.
- the interval between subsequent requests from the same client is called "think time".

Basic idea: wait a bit before serving non contiguous requests, just in case a contiguous one comes soon.

- Useful with synchronous clients;
- may cause unnecessary idleness;
- may need some tuning of parameters (estimate the think time, don't wait much longer than that);
- helps fair schedulers to distribute disk bandwidth.

Goal: assign resources according to some specific allocation pattern.

- Actual allocation should be independent from requests from competing clients (isolation);
- actual allocation should not alter the rate of our requests (impossible to achieve with synchronous clients);
- usually addressed by controlling the service delay experienced by our requests;
- same as the other two problems, relies on classification of requests.

Some measurements to analyse the behaviour of different schedulers.

- Characterize disk (and device driver) behaviour;
- important to design and understand the behaviour of scheduling algorithms.

How to do measurement ?



- ▶ Userland, ktrace, ktr ?
- small difference even with 2k blocks;
- userland is often good enough;
- be careful to discard outliers (initial seeks, scheduling artifacts, etc.)

Latency vs blocksize, streaming

- limited by the disk/interface/bus throughput;
- Intervention also grows with the blocksize.
- ▶ left: 250GB SATA, 7200 RPM, peak 88MB/s;
- ▶ right: 250MB, ATA+USB, 700 RPM, USB2 peak 27MB/s



Latency vs blocksize, streaming(2)

- Two more disks:
- left: 160GB laptop, 19MB/s; right: 320MB 7200 RPM SATA, peak 75MB/s





Delay vs seek distance

Seek delays have 3 parts:

- Acceleration/settle time;
- moving (proportional to distance)
- rotational delay.
- below: 250GB Sata, 7200 RPM



left: USB, 7200 RPM; right: laptop, 3600 rpm



- we don't have exact geometry info, so we cannot easily predict the exact seek latency;
- media has variable throughput (and probably variable density);
- beware of caching;
- we don't know caching/readahead policies.
- Some measurement can be made at runtime and used to tune the scheduler.

Part 5 - an example disk scheduler

Example scheduler: gsched_rr

- Per-client queues sorted using C-SCAN;
- Round robin between queues;
- Anticipation on the queue currently under service;
- Bounded number of requests for each queue.
- Parameters:

kern.geom.sched.rr.wait_ms	5
kern.geom.sched.rr.bypass	0
$\verb"kern.geom.sched.rr.w_anticipate"$	1
kern.geom.sched.rr.quantum_kb	8192
kern.geom.sched.rr.quantum_ms	50
kern.geom.sched.rr.queue_depth	1

There are a few sysctl's exported by geom schedulers, for stats and debugging

kern.geom.sched.requests: kern.geom.sched.in_flight: kern.geom.sched.in_flight_w: kern.geom.sched.in_flight_b: kern.geom.sched.in_flight_wb: kern.geom.sched.done: kern.geom.sched.algorithms: kern.geom.sched.debug: kern.geom.sched.expire_secs: total requests requests in flight writes in flight bytes in flight write bytes in flight completed requests registered algorithms verbosity classifier hash expire Some preliminary results on scheduler's performance in some easy cases (the focus here is on the framework).

Measurement is using multiple dd instances on a filesystems, all speeds in ${\rm MiB/s.}$

- two greedy readers, throughput improvement NORMAL: 6.8 + 6.8 ; GSCHED_RR: 27.0 + 27.0
- one greedy reader, one greedy writer, capture effect NORMAL: R: 0.234 W:72.3 ; GSCHED_RR: R:12.0 W:40.0
- multiple greedy writers, only small loss of througput NORMAL: 16+16; RR: 15.5 + 15.5
- one sequential reader, one random reader (fio)
 NORMAL: Seq: 4.2 Rand: 4.2; RR: Seq: 30 Rand: 4.4

- We have presented GEOM_SCHED, a framework for disk scheduling within GEOM;
- extremely simple to use and non intrusive
- Already able to give performance improvements in simple cases
- no or small regression in generic case (low overhead)
- need some autotuning to achieve better performance
- open to experimentation (e.g. readahead in geom ?)

Questions ? luigi@freebsd.org Code: http://info.iet.unipi.it/ luigi/FreeBSD/